

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

RECEIVED
USDC, CLERK, CHARLESTON, SC

2010 FEB 19 A 11:51


Donald Evans Williams, #272018,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
Michelle Whitlock; Sandra Young,)
)
Defendants.)
_____)

Civil Action No. 0:09-3333-SB

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the pro se Plaintiff's complaint, filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By local rule, the matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations.

On January 26, 2010, United States Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the Plaintiff's complaint and recommending that the Court dismiss the complaint without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the Plaintiff of the right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within 10 days of the date of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

 Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Wells v. Shriners Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Plaintiff did not file any specific, written objections, there are no portions of the R&R to which the Court must conduct a de novo review. Accordingly, after review, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R as the Order of this Court, and it is

ORDERED that the Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



The Honorable Sol Blatt, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge

February 18, 2010
Charleston, South Carolina

